PE	<b>~</b>	
APR 0 7 70	©Practitioner's Docket No. MO3	B179 PATENT
With the Tillian		PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
W. E. Tillian	In re application of: MENNIE et al. Application No.: 10/669,775 Filed: September 24, 2003 For: Vacuum Pumping System	Group No.: 3746 Examiner:
	Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313	B-1450
	STA	TUS INQUIRY
	<b>WARINING:</b> Submission of a status letter afte in patent term adjustment under 111–112, June 26, 2001.	r a Notice of Allowance may subect an application to a reduction 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(c)(10). See Notice of may 29, 2001, 1247 OG
	1. More than 18 months have p	passed since
	☑ NEW APPLICATIONS	a
	the filing of this application	on September 24, 2003
	No communication has bee indicating action on this ap	n received from the Patent and Trademark Office olication.
	☐ AMENDED APPLICATIONS	
	the filing of a response on	
. '	No further communication hoffice.	nas been received from the Patent and Trademark
4	☐ APPEALED APPLICATION	
	The Appeal Brief was fi	led on
	(When using Express Mail, the	ER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10*  Dispress Mail label number is mandatory;  Cortification is optional.)
•	I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, t	his correspondence is being:
	□ deposited with the United States Postal Servi □ Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	ce in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O.
	37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)  ☑ with sufficient postage as first class mail.	37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *
	man samoone postage as met class man.	as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"  Mailing Label No
	τ	RANSMISSION
	facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trade	emark Office, (703)
		bety lee
	Date: april 5, 2005	Signature

\* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

Betty Lee

(type or print name of person certifying)

(check and complete applicable items below)

☐ An Examiner's Answer was mailed on
☐ A Reply to the Examiner's Answer was submitted on
ALLOWED APPLICATIONS
the mailing of FORM POL-327 and/or Examiner's Amendment on

2. Kindly advise the undersigned of the present status of this application, by checking the appropriate box below. A stamped return-addressed envelope is provided.

NOTE: M.P.E.P. § 203.08 Status Inquiries, 8th Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries as follows:

## "NEW APPLICATION

"Current examining procedures now provide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) of Form PTOL-37 in every case of allowance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL-37 in addition to a formal Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate the need for status inquiries even as a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his or her new application may have been passed to issue on the first examination. However, as an exception, a status inquiry would be appropriate where a Notice of Allowance is not received within three months from receipt of form PTOL-37.

"Current examining procedures also aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various examiner dockets of each art unit and TC with respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates of the "oldest new applications" appearing in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to the expected time frames of when the examiners reach the applications or action.

"Therefore, it should be rarely necessary to query the status of a new application.

## "AMENDED APPLICATIONS

"Amended applications are expected to be taken up by the examiner and an action completed within two months of the date the examiner receives the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not in order after reply by the attorney until 5 or 6 months have elapsed with no response from the Office. A postcard receipt for replies to Office actions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed, will be considered prima facie proof of receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely filing of a reply, the submission of a copy of the postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate the need for a petition to revive. Proof of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the need for a petition to revive only if the reply was in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113."

Date:

Reg. No.: 31,147

Ira Lee Zebrak

(type or print name of practitioner)
The BOC Group, Inc.

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

**Tel. No.:** (908 ) 771–6469

Legal Services-Intellectual Property

P.O. Address

575 Mountain Ave.

Murray Hill, NJ 07974

Customer No.: 020411

## STATUS INQUIRY REPLY

APPLIC	CATION SERIAL NO. 0 / IS CURRENTLY
PE	ASSIGNED TO GROUP AND AWAITS:
IPE VOS	☐ ACTION BY THE EXAMINER.
T MUS U	☐ APPLICANT'S RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE ACTION MAILED
APR O 7 7005	
A TO MENTAL	
P. Thomas did	
APPEA	L NO
L	IS AWAITING ACTION BY THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFER-
•	ENCES
	☐ DATE OF HEARING EXPECTED
	□ DECISION EXPECTED